

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/564,819 | 01/13/2006 | Hiroshi Okazaki | Q82144 | 3159 | |
| 23373 7590 90192009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL-VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | GUCKER, STEPHEN | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | 11, 20 20021 | 1649 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 03/19/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/564,819 | OKAZAKI, HIROSHI | OKAZAKI, HIROSHI | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| STEPHEN GUCKER | 1649 | | | |

| | | STEPHEN GUCKER | 1649 | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------|
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence ad | ldress |
| A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r | DRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period up the period of the peri | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 2a)□ 3)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Se</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro | | e merits is |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) fs/are objected to restriction and/or e | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be supported to the examination of the examination is objected to be supported to be supported to the examination of the | epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * 0 None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | s have been received. In have been received in Application of the proceive in the proceive in the proceive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National | Stage |
| . 8 | see the attached detailed Office action for a list of | or the certified copies not receive | ıu. | |
| | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | |
| 4) Alotio | o of References Cited (RTO 902) | 4) Interview Cummeru | (DTO 412) | |

- Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-932)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-82708) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: __

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/564,819 Page 2

Art Unit: 1649

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-18 and 24-32, drawn to a method of obtaining or maintaining an undifferentiated population of oligodendrocyte precursor cells.

Group II, claim(s) 21-23, drawn to a method of obtaining a differentiated population of oligodendrocyte cells.

Group III, claim(s) 33-41, drawn to a method of dedifferentiating a population of oligodendrocyte precursor cells.

Group IV, claim(s) 43-45, drawn to a population of oligodendrocyte precursor cells.

Group V, claim(s) 46-48, drawn to a method of screening for compounds by using a population of oligodendrocyte precursor cells.

Group VI, claim(s) 49-53, drawn to a method of treatment by using a population of oligodendrocyte precursor cells.

Group VII, claim(s) 19-20 and 54-60, drawn to a population of oligodendrocyte precursor cells.

Group VIII, claim(s) 42, drawn to a population of dedifferentiated oligodendrocyte precursor cells.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: a special technical feature by definition must be novel or be considered to involve an inventive step over the prior art. However, the international search report for this application, filed 1/13/06, indicates that Groups I-III, V, and

Art Unit: 1649

VII-VIII of the instant application are either not novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the reference is considered alone (see Shi et al., especially pages 4628, 4629, and 4633, listed in the international search report). Therefore, Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept because Groups I-VIII lack a special technical feature which by definition must be novel or be considered to involve an inventive step over the prior art.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification:
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
 - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
 - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
 - (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be Art Unit: 1649

traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected

invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/564,819 Page 5

Art Unit: 1649

5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,819 Page 6

Art Unit: 1649

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0883.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 0930 to 1800.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker, can be reached at 571-272-0911. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have guestions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/S. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 1649

Stephen Gucker

March 19, 2009

/Jeffrey Stucker/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1649